

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/726,300	12/01/2003	Tomoko Takagi	P/2850-90	6103	
2352 OSTROLENK	2352 7590 06/28/2007 OSTROLENK FABER GERB & SOFFEN			EXAMINER	
1180 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS			LUND, JEFFRIE ROBERT		
NEW YORK,	NY 100368403		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1763	-	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/28/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/726,300	TAKAGI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jeffrie R. Lund	1763				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period variety or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE!	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ap	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 April 2007</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)	from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 01 December 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objectod drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) [] Interdiction (Section 1)	(DTO 442)				
 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/03,1/04,1/06,4/07. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te				

Art Unit: 1763

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of Group II, claims 5-10, in the reply filed on April 13, 2007 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claim 5 requires that the substrate be heated in the chamber in which a film is deposited. The specification specifically teaches that the substrate is heated in a heating chamber and then transferred to the coating chambers. Thus, the specification does not describe that the substrate is heated in the chamber in which a film is deposited.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Page 2

Art Unit: 1763

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Masao, JP 01-292830.

Masao clearly teaches a chamber 1; substrates 4 placed in the chamber 1; a nitrogen gas flow 5 through the chamber 1 to heat the substrates through heat exchange with the gas; a pumping system the evacuates the chamber. After the substrates are heated to processing temperature a silicon oxide film is deposited on the substrate in the chamber. (Figure 1 and abstract)

6. Claims 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Makoto et al, JP 09-213664.

Makoto et al teaches a heating chamber 900; substrates 907, 908 placed in the heating chamber 900; a heated nitrogen gas flow 5 flowing through the heating chamber 900 to heat the substrates through heat exchange with the gas; a deposition chamber 930a in which a film is deposited located downstream from the heating chamber 900 and connected to the heating chamber 900 by a valve 911. The nitrogen gas is inherently free from moisture and organic substances because both moisture and organic substances are know as contaminants and semiconductor grade gas is typically 99.99+ percent pure. (Figure 9 and paragraph 0072)

7. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hideo, JP 2001-119049.

Hideo teaches a heating chamber 4; substrate 6 placed in the heating chamber

Application/Control Number: 10/726,300 Page 4

Art Unit: 1763

4; a heated gas flow consisting of dry clean air (paragraph 0012) flowing through the heating chamber 4 to heat the substrates through heat exchange with the gas; a deposition chamber 1 in which a film is deposited located downstream from the heating chamber 4 and connected to the heating chamber 4. A valve inherently connects the deposition chamber and heating chamber, in order to isolate the atmospheric pressure heating chamber from the vacuum pressure deposition chamber. (Entire document)

8. Claim 6, 9, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ueda et al, US Patent Application Publication 20010007246 A1.

Ueda et al teaches a heating chamber 3; substrates 9 placed in the heating chamber 3; a heated gas flow consisting of compressed air with moisture and organic substances removed by a compression cooler (paragraph 0038) flowing through the heating chamber 3 to heat the substrates 9 through heat exchange with the gas; a filter 33 for removing moisture and organic substances from the gas flow; a deposition chamber 1 in which a film is deposited located downstream from the heating chamber 4 and connected to the heating chamber 4 via a load lock 2 and valves 4. (Entire document)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of

Art Unit: 1763

the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

11. Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hideo, JP 2001-119049, in view of Masao, JP 01-292830, or Makoto et al, JP 09-213664.

Hideo was discussed above, and teaches using air as the heating gas.

Hideo differs from the present invention in that Hideo does not teach that the gas is nitrogen.

Masao and Makoto et al were both discussed above and both teach using nitrogen as a heating gas.

The motivation for using nitrogen in place of air in the apparatus of Hideo is to provide an inert gas that will not form native oxides on the heated substrates.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the heated gas (i.e. air) of Hideo with the heated gas of Masao and Makoto et al (i.e. nitrogen gas).

12. Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ueda et al, US Patent Application Publication 2001/0007246, in view of Masao, JP 01-

Art Unit: 1763

292830, or Makoto et al, JP 09-213664.

Ueda et al was discussed above, and teaches using air as the heating gas.

Ueda et al differs from the present invention in that Ueda et al does not teach that the gas is nitrogen.

Masao and Makoto et al were both discussed above and both teach using nitrogen as a heating gas.

The motivation for using nitrogen in place of air in the apparatus of Ueda et al is to provide an inert gas that will not form native oxides on the heated substrates.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the heated gas (i.e. air) of Ueda et al with the heated gas of Masao and Makoto et al (i.e. nitrogen gas).

13. Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Makoto et al, JP 09-213664, in view of Bergman, US Patent 6,494,060 B1.

Makoto et al was discussed above.

Makoto et al differs from the present invention in that Makoto et al does not teach using a compression cooler or filter to remove moisture and organic substances.

Bergman teaches a nitrogen gas purifier that removes moisture and organic substances using a filter and compression cooler.

The motivation for using a filter and compression cooler to remove moisture and organic substances from the nitrogen gas of Makoto et al is to provide clean nitrogen for use in semiconductor apparatus as taught by Bergman

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time

Art Unit: 1763

the invention was made to add the compression cooler and filter of Bergman to the nitrogen supply system of Makoto et al.

14. Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hideo, JP 2001-119049, in view of Bergman, US Patent 6,494,060 B1.

Hideo was discussed above.

Hideo differs from the present invention in that Hideo does not teach using a compression cooler or filter to remove moisture and organic substances.

Bergman teaches a nitrogen gas purifier that removes moisture and organic substances using a filter and compression cooler.

The motivation for using a filter and compression cooler to remove moisture and organic substances from the air of Hideo is to provide clean air for use in semiconductor apparatus as taught by Bergman

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add the compression cooler and filter of Bergman to the air supply system of Hideo.

Conclusion

- 15. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited art teaches the technological background of the invention.
- 16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrie R. Lund whose telephone number is (571) 272-1437. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (10:00 am 9:00)

Art Unit: 1763

pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Parviz Hassanzadeh can be reached on (571) 272-1435. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jeffrie R. Lund Primary Examiner Art Unit 1763

JRL 6/22/07